

Data Sources

PCCC

The Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium [1] database contains information on all cardiac procedures – including surgeries, cardiac catheterizations, and electrophysiology studies – performed at over 40 member institutions. Each participating center signs an annual contract with the PCCC. The database contains demographic data, diagnoses and procedures, history of previous cardiac operations, and associated non-cardiac conditions. After data have been submitted, information is extracted and all diagnoses and procedures are recoded using a standardized system. Details of the creation, activities and function of the PCCC have been described in several publications [2-4]. The PCCC was formed to overcome challenges of the limited number of patients with cardiac malformations (8/1000 live births), the even rarer incidence of any particular malformation, and the limited subset of these patients that present for catheterization or surgical correction. It was recognized that the experience of any one institution was limited and collaboration between centers was necessary to have sufficient numbers to conduct meaningful outcomes analysis. Data collected include patient identifier, patient country and state or country of origin, hospital name encounter, encounter identifier number, birth date, birth weight [5], hospital admission date, weight at time of admission, previous cardiac operations (types and dates), presence and type of noncardiac malformations or conditions, cardiac catheterization data, (including date, weight, hemoglobin, cardiac diagnosis, and type of procedure), discharge, transfer, or death date (including diagnoses at death). Diagnosis data include detailed cardiac diagnosis, cardiac procedure, chromosomal anomalies, and information on other systems including central nervous system (CNS), gastrointestinal (GI), genitourinary (GU), respiratory and musculoskeletal. Outcome data include 30-day survival post procedure, need for ECMO, need for pacemaker abnormalities or Automatic Implantable Cardio-Defibrillator (AICD), need for unplanned reoperation, and length of hospitalization.

Since the inception of the PCCC, the value of the database as a research tool has been well recognized. [6-12]. Few other multi-center collaborative studies exist in the field, and none include as many patients or have existed for as long a time period as the Consortium [13-22]. Today, the PCCC has enrolled over 100,000 patients and has data for over 130,000 procedures (surgeries and catheter-based interventions or electrophysiologic studies) since 1982. The patient-specific longitudinal follow-up within the registry data is invaluable when assessing long-term outcomes after operative or catheter intervention for rare CHD. Data from this multi-center registry have been presented extensively in peer-reviewed manuscripts or scientific meetings and have contributed significantly to the understanding of the long term outcomes of CHDs [6-8, 10-12, 23-26]. Ideally, life expectancy rates and causes of death for the various types of procedures for CHD should come from prospective studies of large groups of patients with these conditions and their respective interventions [27]. These studies are not feasible and therefore, the main other source of information regarding the altered history of CHD remain the clinical registries. Unfortunately, a common drawback of these registries is limited availability of long-term follow up. An alternative approach is to enrich existing clinical registries with long term data from other sources, for example, by linking them to a national death registry. Linking the PCCC with such registry would overcome this weakness in terms of providing long term mortality data and causes of death [28]. The combined post-linking database will provide a unique resource that can be used to

evaluate long-term survival data and major causes or contributing factors of death for patients surviving interventions for CHD.

The PCCC was formed in 1982 and a 25 year experience has now been compiled in addition to several articles describing composite results for specific operations, cardiac anomalies or genetic syndromes [1, 4, 6, 7, 10-12, 29-31]. A major strength of the registry has been the ability to analyze rare congenital anomalies and their treatment in a group of cardiac centers spread across a large geographic area. The goal of the program is to assess the quality of care being given to infants and children with cardiac conditions; reports are issued on an annual basis. Permission to use PCCC data must be granted by its board of directors; approval was obtained in June 2007. To assure data quality, the PCCC coders manually review all cardiac diagnosis and procedure codes for consistency among institutions; a double data entry process is employed; and independent verification of the number of procedures performed at each institution in a given year is obtained. The PCCC database contains approximately 3,500 cases of congenital heart surgery in each calendar year since 2002.

A. Overview. Currently, each center registers individuals into the PCCC with a paper form faxed or mailed to the University of Minnesota (data entered on the form is listed in Table 1). The information is then entered into the computer twice to reduce entry errors. A review is undertaken each year to resolve data conflicts and to ensure data completeness. Descriptive statistics are used to describe the annual occurrence number and type of patients, mix of services, cardiac catheterization experience, operative experience, mortality, and length-of-stay. A statistical test of significance [32] is used to note differences between an individual center and the group. An executive summary is prepared for each center. Each center receives a report summarizing the center's adjusted mortality for each of the procedures for which they have had 10 cases during the past 5 years. For each of these operations, a separate report is included, depicted the mean and range of values for the individual center, including the nine variables predictive of mortality. This allows a center to compare their experience with the group particularly for operations for which they have an elevated adjusted mortality.

The coding system used by the PCCC was created after reviewing various classification schemes for congenital heart disease, including The International Classification of Diseases [33] and that of the World Health Organization. These were found to be either too broad or imprecise to be clinically useful. Therefore, a new coding system was developed that included separate codes for diagnoses and procedures. The coding system was developed with the goal to provide detailed description of the cardiac lesion evaluate the complexity of the operation, ensure the completeness of the data and create a platform for collaboration between medical and surgical disciplines. Codes are 5 digits in length, with each additional digit defining more precise anatomy and surgical intervention (Appendices 1-3). For example, a diagnosis code of 13100 indicates an atrial septal defect; 13120 indicates a secundum-type atrial septal defect; and 13121 indicates a secundum atrial septal defect with a left-to-right shunt. This allows one to search for all diagnoses or procedures included in general categories (i.e. all 131xx codes to capture all atrial septal defects) or to search specifically for very narrow diagnoses (i.e. only secundum atrial septal defects).

Participating centers agree to submit data on all patients treated at their institutions and to permit independent confirmation on the number of procedures performed annually to ensure compliance. Centers also agree to pay a nominal fee per form to support the costs of collecting and evaluating the data. Upon joining the registry, each center must identify a cardiologist who will be the contact person for their institution. This is the only person to whom annual reports and other data will be released. In addition, each center identifies a data collector who is responsible for the timely submission of data to the PCCC. This person completes a paper form for each catheterization, surgery or death that occurs and attaches appropriate procedure reports for each. The form requests a minimal amount of data such as the date of the procedure; patient details such as age and weight; and information such as associated noncardiac conditions and previous operative procedures. These forms are then forwarded to the PCCC's central office for coding and entry into the PCCC database.

B. Individual registry form. All individuals are assigned a PCCC study code number. The information collected on each patient is listed in Table 1. The information collected is forwarded to the PCCC along with a copy of the catheterization, operative, autopsy, or death report. Trained coders review the reports and extract information, and code the cardiac diagnoses and types of operations. However, additional data such as exposures of interest (cardiopulmonary bypass time, circulatory arrest time, thymectomy, duration of radiation exposure during catheterization procedures), hemodynamic findings at cardiac catheterization, description of operation and of devices used (pacemaker, prosthetic valve and conduit types and sizes) can be extracted from the original paper PCCC forms. These forms have been digitized in PDF format and archive in a searchable, password protected webpage.

Table 1. Data Collected in PCCC

Patient Identifier
Patient country and state of origin, or country of origin
Hospital name
Encounter identification number
Birth date
Birth weight
Hospital admission data
Weight at time of admission
Previous cardiac operations (types and dates)
Presence and type of noncardiac malformations or conditions
Cardiac catheterization data, including date, weight, hemoglobin and diagnoses
Cardiac operation data, including date, weight, hemoglobin, cardiac diagnosis, and type of procedure
Discharge, transfer, or death date, including diagnoses at death.

C. Diagnoses. Each patient is classified under a single primary diagnostic code with additional diagnoses being considered as secondary. A diagnostic and operative classification was necessary for both common and rare conditions and operations. (Tables of Catheterization Procedures, Operations and Cardiac Diagnoses, Appendices 1-3).

D. Audits/Checks/Balances/Security. Each year the PCCC contacts the medical records at each participating center to independently ascertain the number of

catheterizations, cardiac operations, and cardiac deaths for the year for individuals within that center ages 0-18. If discrepancies exist between the number of cases reported to the PCCC and the number in medical records, these discrepancies are resolved before the data from the center are analyzed. HIPAA best practices are followed. The servers are in a physically secure location on campus and are backed up off-site to a remote location.

Literature

- 1. Boethig, D., et al., *The RACHS-1 risk categories reflect mortality and length of hospital stay in a large German pediatric cardiac surgery population.* Eur J Cardiothorac Surg, 2004. **26**(1): p. 12-7.
- 2. Moller, J.H. and C. Borbas, *The Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium: a physician-managed clinical review program.* QRB Qual Rev Bull, 1990. **16**(9): p. 310-6.
- 3. Moller, J.H., et al., *Pediatric cardiac care consortium. Demonstrated value of a physician-directed quality assessment system.* Minn Med, 1990. **73**(6): p. 26-32.
- 4. Moller, J.H., et al., *The pediatric cardiac care consortium--revisited.* Jt Comm J Qual Improv, 1994. **20**(12): p. 661-8.
- 5. Alboliras, E.T., et al., *Left ventricular growth in selected hypoplastic left ventricles: outcome after repair of coarctation of aorta.* Ann Thorac Surg, 1999. **68**(2): p. 549-55.
- 6. Bartlett, H.L., et al., *Early outcomes of tricuspid valve replacement in young children.* Circulation, 2007. **115**(3): p. 319-25.
- 7. Caldarone, C.A., et al., *Long-term survival after mitral valve replacement in children aged <5 years: a multi-institutional study.* Circulation, 2001. **104**(12 Suppl 1): p. I143-7.
- 8. Hills, C., et al., *Cri du chat syndrome and congenital heart disease: a review of previously reported cases and presentation of an additional 21 cases from the Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium.* Pediatrics, 2006. **117**(5): p. e924-7.
- 9. Moller, J.H. and R.C. Anderson, *1,000 consecutive children with a cardiac malformation with 26- to 37-year follow-up.* Am J Cardiol, 1992. **70**(6): p. 661-7.
- 10. Nicolas, R.T., et al., *Early outcome after Glenn shunt and Fontan palliation and the impact of operation during viral respiratory season: analysis of a 19-year multi-institutional experience.* Ann Thorac Surg, 2005. **79**(2): p. 613-7; discussion 617.
- 11. Pham, P.P., et al., *Cardiac Catheterization and Operative Outcomes from a Multicenter Consortium for Children with Williams Syndrome.* Pediatr Cardiol, 2008.
- 12. Tucker, E.M., et al., *Permanent pacemaker for atrioventricular conduction block after operative repair of perimembranous ventricular septal defect.* J Am Coll Cardiol, 2007. **50**(12): p. 1196-200.
- 13. Jacobs, J.P., *Software development, nomenclature schemes, and mapping strategies for an international pediatric cardiac surgery database system.* Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Pediatr Card Surg Annu, 2002. **5**: p. 153-62.
- 14. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *Creating a database with cardioscopy and intraoperative imaging.* Cardiol Young, 2005. **15 Suppl 1**: p. 184-9.
- 15. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *The nomenclature, definition and classification of discordant atrioventricular connections.* Cardiol Young, 2006. **16 Suppl 3**: p. 72-84.
- 16. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *Current status of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database.* Ann Thorac Surg, 2005. **80**(6): p. 2278-83; discussion 2283-4.

- 17. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *Nomenclature and databases for the surgical treatment of congenital cardiac disease--an updated primer and an analysis of opportunities for improvement*. Cardiol Young, 2008. **18 Suppl 2**: p. 38-62.
- 18. Jacobs, J.P. and B. Maruszewski, *Computerized outcomes analysis for congenital heart disease*. Curr Opin Pediatr, 2005. **17**(5): p. 586-91.
- 19. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *The current status and future directions of efforts to create a global database for the outcomes of therapy for congenital heart disease*. Cardiol Young, 2005. **15 Suppl 1**: p. 190-7.
- 20. Jacobs, J.P., et al., *Nomenclature and databases - the past, the present, and the future : a primer for the congenital heart surgeon*. Pediatr Cardiol, 2007. **28**(2): p. 105-15.
- 21. Jacobs, J.P., G. Wernovsky, and M.J. Elliott, *Analysis of outcomes for congenital cardiac disease: can we do better?* Cardiol Young, 2007. **17 Suppl 2**: p. 145-58.
- 22. Jacobs, M.L., et al., *Databases for assessing the outcomes of the treatment of patients with congenital and paediatric cardiac disease--the perspective of cardiac surgery*. Cardiol Young, 2008. **18 Suppl 2**: p. 101-15.
- 23. Mulder, T.J., et al., *A multicenter analysis of the choice of initial surgical procedure in tetralogy of Fallot*. Pediatr Cardiol, 2002. **23**(6): p. 580-6.
- 24. Toro-Salazar, O.H., et al., *Long-term follow-up of patients after coarctation of the aorta repair*. Am J Cardiol, 2002. **89**(5): p. 541-7.
- 25. Hokanson, J.S. and J.H. Moller, *Significance of early transient complete heart block as a predictor of sudden death late after operative correction of tetralogy of Fallot*. Am J Cardiol, 2001. **87**(11): p. 1271-7.
- 26. Gatzoulis, M.A., et al., *Risk factors for arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot: a multicentre study*. Lancet, 2000. **356**(9234): p. 975-81.
- 27. Hoffman, J.I., *Natural history of congenital heart disease. Problems in its assessment with special reference to ventricular septal defects*. Circulation, 1968. **37**(1): p. 97-125.
- 28. Morales, D.L., A.J. McClellan, and J.P. Jacobs, *Empowering a database with national long-term data about mortality: the use of national death registries*. Cardiol Young, 2008. **18 Suppl 2**: p. 188-95.
- 29. Moller, J.H., *Congenital heart diseases and coronary artery anomalies: a comparison of experiences*. Cleve Clin J Med, 1990. **57**(2): p. 178-80.
- 30. Powell, C.B., et al., *Operative mortality and frequency of coexistent anomalies in interruption of the aortic arch*. Am J Cardiol, 1997. **79**(8): p. 1147-8.
- 31. Raghubeer, G., et al., *Predictors of prosthesis survival, growth, and functional status following mechanical mitral valve replacement in children aged <5 years, a multi-institutional study*. Circulation, 2003. **108 Suppl 1**: p. II174-9.
- 32. Goldmuntz, E., et al., *Evaluation of potential modifiers of the cardiac phenotype in the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome*. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol, 2008.

- 33. Welke, K.F., et al., *The relationship between hospital surgical case volumes and mortality rates in pediatric cardiac surgery: a national sample, 1988-2005*. Ann Thorac Surg, 2008. **86**(3): p. 889-96; discussion 889-96.
- 34. St Louis, J.D., et al., *Repair of "Simple" Total Anomalous Pulmonary Venous Connection: A Review from the Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium*. Ann Thorac Surg, 2013.
-